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ABSTRACT
The discovery and visualization of temporal sequence of personalized academic research can enhance the ability for discovering the latent trend of interests to information seekers. In this paper, we propose a definition of weighted co-occurring keywords time gram and use it as a basic unit to analyze the temporal information in existed keywords collection. We further propose a method to get the temporal sequence and temporal network based on these time grams. An application of the proposed method in discovering academic research temporal sequence is discussed, which includes techniques for acquiring extended keywords, assigning weight to each keyword and co-occurring weight to each keyword pair. A visualization tool is designed for browsing the temporal networks identified. Finally, we report an experiment in the area of library and information studies. The experiment results show the effectiveness of the proposed method in helping users analyzing and portraying the evolution pattern and developing trend of corresponding academic research.
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INTRODUCTION
It is very important for modern information recommending system to acquire more personalized and valuable information from users. Traditional methods often pay more attention to analyze the users’ interests based on pure semantic information such as keyword or ontology. Along with the development of temporal analysis researches, more and more scholars begin to show more interests in mining the temporal information in all kinds of users’ data and use them to enhance the ability for expressing the users’ personalized models, so as to recommend more satisfactory results to users. In fact, temporal information is a very important criteria used by users to express their information needs or make relevance judgments. Traditionally, temporal information has been always treated as a document property. We propose a method to treat temporal information as a characteristic of the topics covered in documents. We can then portray a temporal network of topics for a subject area or a specific author using the temporal information and the co-occurrences of the keywords. We believe with a user-friendly visualization interface such a temporal network, it would help users examine and take full advantage of the information results.

We review related studies in the next section, followed by the introduction to our method. Then a pilot study on the field of library and information studies is reported to illustrate the proposed method. The paper concludes with some preliminary evaluation results.

RELATED WORKS
The research of how to obtain and analyze time information has primarily relied on statistical methods. However, these traditional statistical methods cannot provide the complex temporal information required in the area of information service Alkilany (2013) summarizes that temporal information involved in an information process can be classified into three types. First is the time information stored in records, the second one is the event time of records, and the last one is user-customerized time which often has different meanings in different applications. Many studies have been done on how to extract and use these types of temporal information. For example, Zhang and Li (2011) incorporate time information with semantic information in document classification. They include three types of time information in their analysis: exact time, vague time and decorative time. Xu and Li (2011) combine
the machine-learning technology and rule-based methods to improve the effectiveness of identifying time expression.

Some studies have shown that combining semantic information with time information smoothly can provide extra perspective and usage of time information analysis (Radinsky & Svore, 2012; Leprovost & Abrouk, 2012). More and more scholars have begun to focus on this trend in the area of information retrieval and personalized service (Kim & Xing, 2013). It is believed that such technique can help reducing semantic ambiguity and exploring temporal trend of information resources such as academic researches and media news. For example, Hong and Zhang (2012) design a new method to identify the latent burst words in Internet news and academic documents based on the life cycle of keywords and their burst rules. Corresponding researches have formed some specific research topics such as trend analysis of disciplines, discovery of academic hotspot, etc. Ma and Lv (2012) use bibliometrics analysis with temporal analysis and draw conclusion that concepts in specific research area can expand to other areas with time passing by. Yin and Hirokawa (2013) design a cross tabulation search engine with the functions of conducting search, recognizing important authors and documents, and analyzing research trends.

Citation analysis has been used in the area of disciplines trend analysis and academic research trend discovery for a while since citation relationships can reflect temporal information. Farideh (2009) in his review of bibliometrics summarizes various methods and applications of citation analysis and co-citation analysis. Li and Ren (2010) use a citation timing visualization software to depict the citation chronological diagram in the research area of hybrid rice. They successfully identify more important literatures and the research trend in the area. We also have conducted some related research before such as the automatic recognition and visualization method of main-path in academic documents based on vibration algorithm and domain ontology, the research of automatic construction of domain ontology in library and information science based on weighted co-occurrence of citation keywords, and etc (Li, 2012; Li & Xu, 2012). Chen and Lv (2009) use knowledge mapping which combines the visualization with citation analysis to recognize important documents in mechanics research area. Li and Hou (2007) give a thorough review of citation timing visualization and co-citation analysis visualization.

However, citation is not a direct time indicator and carries limited time information. Using citation relations in temporal and trend analysis has its own problem and limitation. First, older documents tend to get more citing and newer ones get less. More importantly, citing relations only exist in the cited documents and citing documents.

How to take advantage of temporal information extracted from academic documents collection to serve academic research is an area getting more attentions from researchers. Ways of combining methods borrowed from other research areas such as bibliometrics indicators, machine-learning, and network analysis of literature co-citation clustering are tested (Wang & Wang, 2012; Yin, 2008). These methods can also be applied to personalized information retrieval and Web conception recognition (Al & Mills, 2012; Borth & Ulges, 2012). Recently, some scholars begin to use probabilistic graphical models to describe the evolution of scientific documents. Effective trends are obtained by extracting the topics in documents along a time series (Wang & Xu, 2009). Zhang and Liang (2010) try to identify the research hotspots and developing trends of a specific discipline by analyzing the full text of academic documents including time information, and clustering these documents based on topic clustering. Li and Liang (2011) analyze the general evolution trends of Chinese information system theory researches between 2000 and 2009 using topic classification.

Studies have shown that keywords co-occurrence method can enhance semantic analysis (Wang & Song, 2007). For example, Xia and Cheng (2012) design a multi-dimensional visualized clustering analysis method based on keywords co-occurrence in e-government platforms. We also have done some related researches based on keywords co-occurrence (Li, 2012; Li & Lv, 2012; Li & Bai, 2011). Built upon our researches on keyword co-occurrence, we propose this new method of integrating temporal information with term co-occurrence to discover and visualize the personalized academic temporal path.

**TIME GRAM, TEMPORAL SEQUENCE, AND TEMPORAL NETWORK**

**Weighted Co-occurring Keywords Time Gram**

The current methods for constructing semantic unit only pay attention to the semantic relationship of different keywords. Here we propose to assign a time element to each semantic unit in order to enhance its expressing effectiveness. We define the new expression of semantic time unit as in Formula 1:

\[
\text{SemanticTimeGram} = \langle \text{SemanticUnit}, \text{Time} \rangle
\]

The semantic units can be at level from simplest keywords to more complex ontology items. In this paper, we use co-occurring keywords’ pair as semantic unit and call it co-occurring keywords time gram, as shown in Formula 2:

\[
\text{CooccurringKeywordsTimeGram} = \langle \text{Keyword}_1, \text{Keyword}_2, \text{Time} \rangle
\]

We can also weight each co-occurring keywords time gram. The weighted co-occurring keywords time gram is constructed as Formula 3:

\[
\text{WeightedCooccurringKeywordsTimeGram} = \langle \text{Keyword}_1, \text{Keyword}_2, \text{Weight}_1, \text{Weight}_2, \text{Time} \rangle
\]
By lining the weighted co-occurring keywords time grams along a temporal sequence, we can obtain a semantic temporal path which will provide a good way to identify the evolution trend of corresponding semantic information. The basic expression of weighted co-occurring keyword temporal path is shown as Formula 4:

\[
\text{CooccurringKeywordsTemporalPath} = <\text{WeightedCooccurringKeywordsTimeGram}_1, \text{WeightedCooccurringKeywordsTimeGram}_2, \ldots, \text{WeightedCooccurringKeywordsTimeGram}_n>  
\]

The grams in Formula 4 are ordered according their time. To identify effective paths from the weighted co-occurring keyword temporal path, we start with each specific keyword, collect all of its co-occurring keywords, construct the weighted co-occurring keywords time grams, and arrange all these keywords to construct a complete keyword temporal path.

Figure 1 illustrates how keyword temporal path is obtained. In the example, two different keyword temporal paths are identified. They are <B1, B2, B3> and <B2, B3, B4>. Each path has its own weight value and time value which is calculated by the weight values and time values of the weighted co-occurring keywords time grams on this path. The weight value denotes the importance of path and the time value can be used to combine with other paths to formulate longer path. The two functions used to calculate these two values, func1 and func2, can be selected based on the application this model is used.

As for the size of keyword pairs, our previous study shows that three-keyword co-occurrence pair can provide better results for finding tightly related keywords (Li, 2013). However, to generate a meaningful three-keyword temporal semantic network requires large amount of data that it is rarely available, so it is more feasible to use two-keyword pairs in most of application areas.

To get longer keyword temporal path, we can further combine different keyword temporal paths together. In this study, we adopt a two-keyword coupling method. We start with identifying all paths with up to three keywords. Then any two different paths are examined. If the beginning two keywords in one path are the same as the last two keywords in the other path and the time value of the first path is later than that of the second path, we combine the two paths to one. For example, given the two paths in Figure 1, <B1, B2, B3> and <B2, B3, B4>, if \(\text{func1}(t_1, t_2, t_3)\) is less then \(\text{func1}(t_2, t_3, t_4)\), we can combine them to get a longer path <B1, B2, B3, B4>. The weight and time value of this path will be recalculated.

**Keyword Temporal Network**

The keyword temporal network can be constructed by combining many keyword temporal paths together. Assume there are three keyword temporal paths <a1, b1, c1, d1>, <a2, b1, c1, d2> and <a3, b1, c1, d3>. The nodes beginning with a, b, c and d belong to time1, time2, time3 and time4 respectively, and the weights of all the paths are identical, we can construct the keyword temporal network as shown in Figure 2.

The weight of a line linking two keywords denotes the weight of the relationship of the two keywords. In this figure, links <b1, c1> and <c1, d1> have higher weight than others. We use this method to depict more effective paths such as path <b1, c1, d1>. A keyword temporal network generated using the proposed method has following important characteristics:

1. The links are ordered, and they show the evolution of corresponding keywords. Some keywords may appear more than once in the network, but in different time periods, which may denote the reappearance of academic research hotspots or the emergence of new complex research hotspots.
2. It has the flexibility of using different weighting methods on the nodes and links.

**RECOGNITION OF ACADEMIC RESEARCH TEMPORAL PATH**

One direct application of the proposed method is to identify academic research temporal path. In order to get the academic research temporal path, we have to solve following problems:

1. Where and how to select keywords
2. How to measure the importance of different keywords on a temporal path
3. How to measure the relationship of different keywords on a temporal path
4. How to measure the effectiveness of final keyword temporal path

These problems and resolutions are tightly related. We first design a measure algorithm for keyword weight and get the measure algorithm for the weight of co-occurring keywords based on keywords’ weights. The effectiveness of final keyword temporal path is also decided by the weight of co-occurring keywords.

**Keywords Selection**

The keyword list submitted by authors is a good source that reflects the subject content of the article. However, in each paper, the number of keywords is usually limited to 3 or 4. To build a temporal semantic network, we need more subject keywords from each document. We designed a method based on the assumption that a scholar’s research works are generally focused on a certain area and related. So for each article, we mark not only the position and frequency information of the terms in the keyword list of the article, but also terms in keyword lists of the same author(s)’s other publications. We limit our analysis in title, abstract, highlight and keywords list fields. Highlight is a special segment which marks the most important ideas of each article in Elsevier database. The exacting process is described in the following pseudo code:

**Input:** The title, abstract, highlight and keywords list of each paper  
**Output:** The extended keyword list and their term frequencies  
// Iterate each scholar in collection  
for each authori in authorList{
  // Get all the paper of current scholar  
  Collection documents=getDocumentsByAuthor(authori);
  // Get all the keywords of the whole collection  
  Collection keywords=getKeywordsByAuthor(authori);
  // Iterate each keyword of the whole collection  
  for each keywordk in keywords{
    // Iterate each keyword of the whole collection  
    if(existed(keywordk , getTitle(docj)))
      insertRecordInTitle(docj ,keywordk ,getFrequency(keywordk ,getTitle(docj)));
    // Get the keyword and its frequency if exists in abstract  
    if(existed(keywordk , getAbstract(docj)))
      insertRecordInAbstract(docj ,keywordk ,getFrequency(keywordk ,getAbstract(docj)));
    // Get the keyword and its frequency if exists in highlight  
    if(existed(keywordk , getHighlight(docj)))
      insertRecordInHighlight(docj ,keywordk ,getFrequency(keywordk ,getHighlight(docj)));
  }
}

**Keyword Weighting**

We use a weighting method similar to standard TFIDF method. Two components are calculated in each keyword’s weight. The first part reflects the importance of a keyword itself or the resolving power of a keyword in the collection, the higher the keyword document frequency, the less its power in expressing the characteristics of document. So we assign this weight of each keyword as Formula 5 shown:

\[
weight_{1\text{keyword}, \text{doc}j} = \log \left( \frac{N}{DF_{\text{keyword}_i}} \right) \quad 5)
\]

N is the total number of documents in the collection. DF is the document frequency of keyword. We use logarithmic function to decrease the excessive impacts of high value.

The second part reflects the importance of keyword in a document which is calculated by term frequency and the weight coefficient of the location the term appears. We assign different weight coefficients to different fields a keyword may appear. So we calculate the weight of keyword, in docj, as Formula 6:

\[
weight_{2\text{keyword}, \text{doc}j} = \text{TFInAbstract}_{\text{keyword}, \text{doc}j} \times \text{coffAbstract} + 
\text{TFInKeywordsList}_{\text{keyword}, \text{doc}j} \times \text{coffKeywordsList} + 
\text{TFInHighlight}_{\text{keyword}, \text{doc}j} \times \text{coffHighlight} + 
\text{TFInTitle}_{\text{keyword}, \text{doc}j} \times \text{coffTitle} \quad 6)
\]

The TFInAbstract, TFInKeywordsList, TFInHighlight and TFInTitle mean the keyword frequency in abstract, keywords list, highlight and title field respectively. In this study, we assign field weight coefficients as follows: the weight coefficient of abstract field is 1, keyword list field is 2, highlight field is 3, and title field is 4. This assignment is based on ad-hoc observation. The number of TFInAbstract may be large compared with other fields, so the corresponding weight coefficient should be set lower. TFInKeywordsList only has two values which are 0 and 1. When a document has this keyword in its original keywords list, TFInKeywordsList is 1, otherwise is 0 and corresponding keyword is an extended keyword. The coefficients of TFInHighlight and TFInTitle are set higher given the short length of the fields.

The final weight of one keyword in a document is shown as Formula 7:

\[
weight_{\text{keyword}, \text{doc}j} = \text{Norm} \left( weight_{1\text{keyword}, \text{doc}j} \times weight_{2\text{keyword}, \text{doc}j} \right) \quad 7)
\]

Norm is normalization function which divides each value with the maximum value and sets each value within 0 and 1.

**Co-occurring Keyword Pair Weighting**

The weight of co-occurring keyword reflects the effectiveness of their co-occurrence. Only paths connecting keywords with certain higher weight will be used in making final evolution discovery. Directional Affinity (DAff) is a traditional method for computing the weight of co-occurring keywords. But it ignores the importance of keywords themselves and only pays attention to the co-occurrence of keywords. For example, keyword A and B
co-occur in one document, and both of them only appear once in the document, keyword C and D also co-occur in only one document, but each of them appears 10 times in the document, we cannot distinguish these two keyword pairs using DAff because their document frequencies all equal to 1. Based on DAff, we propose here a new method in which document frequency is replaced with keyword weight. The formula is shown in Formula 8:

\[
\sum_{d} (\text{weight}_{\text{keyword, doc}} \times \text{weight}_{\text{keyword, doc}}) = \sum_{d} (\text{weight}_{\text{keyword, doc}})
\]

The value of Formula 8 is asymmetric for any two co-occurring keywords. That is to say, we have to calculate both the co-occurring weight of (A, B) and (B, A). Our experiment results show that this method is effective in differentiating keyword pair with similar semantic meanings, which is critical for academic research temporal path discovery.

The Discovery of Academic Research Temporal Path

In this part, we describe how to generate keyword temporal path given an academic publication collection. The detailed step includes four steps:

1) We first collect all weighted co-occurring keywords time grams. Then we use the co-occurring weight of keywords (formula 8) as the weight of each temporal gram and assign the publication year of the document to which keywords belong as time of each temporal path. Each temporal gram is shown as Formula 9:

\[
<\text{keyword1}, \text{keyword2}, \text{relation}_{\text{keyword1, keyword2}}, \text{year}>
\]

2) Each keyword in the collection of time grams will be used as the first keyword in keyword’s pair. Based on these keywords, we can get all of keywords timing paths composed of the second keywords. Since we have to combine different paths to get longer timing paths, we start with getting the timing path having at most three keywords. The weight of each keyword timing path is the weights’ sum of corresponding weighted co-occurring keywords time grams, and time value is average weight of these grams. Each keywords timing path is shown as Formula 10:

\[
<\text{keyword1}, \text{keyword2}, \text{keyword3}, \sum_{i=1} \text{relation}_{\text{keyword1, keyword2, keyword3}}, \text{avg(year)}>^{10}
\]

The keyword, is the co-occurring keyword of both keyword1, keyword2, and keyword3.

3) The identical keyword temporal paths will be merged. The final weight is the sum of the weights of paths merged and the time value is the average time value.

4) We generate the final whole keyword temporal network by combining different paths according to whether the front part of one path is identical to the end part of another path. The weight of each final path is the average weight of corresponding keyword temporal paths.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document Number</th>
<th>Keywords</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2173</td>
<td>NULL</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2456</td>
<td>Web searching/Session duration/Query language/Search engine evaluation/</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2496</td>
<td>Automated assistance/Intelligent information retrieval systems/Explanation systems/Contextual help/Adaptive interfaces/Implicit feedback/</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2560</td>
<td>Web search engines/Web searching/Transaction log analysis/</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2561</td>
<td>NULL</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2624</td>
<td>Web searching/Web search engines/Web search engine evaluation/Ecommerce searching/Paid searching/Sponsored results/Organic results/Non-sponsored links/</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3995</td>
<td>NULL</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2841</td>
<td>Collaborative information behavior model/Collaborative information behavior/Healthcare teams/Healthcare information behavior/</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2917</td>
<td>User intent/Web queries/Web searching/Search engines/</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2984</td>
<td>ARIMA/Box-Jenkins model/Search engine/Time series analysis/Transaction log/</td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3028</td>
<td>Web searching/Information searching/To Anderson and Krathwohl's taxonomy/Bloom's taxonomy/</td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3141</td>
<td>Real time search/Real time content/Collecta/Twitter/Economic value of search/Search topics/</td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3284</td>
<td>Sponsored search/Keyword advertising/Pay-per-click/PPC/Online advertising/Search engine marketing/Gender targeting/Demographic profiling/</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: The documents of one scholar in experiment

The scope of the collection can be flexible. It can be the document collection of a specific author. In that case, the temporal path generated will be a personalized academic research temporal path of this author. If the collection is an information user’s search result set, the method can serve the user’s information need by generated personalized temporal path of the documents the user selects.
EXPERIMENTS AND EVALUATION
We collected 22044 academic publications in 18 journals in the area of library and information science from Elsevier. The time span is 50 years from 1963 to 2013. Each document has four main parts which include title, abstract, highlight and keywords list.

Experiments on Personalized Academic Research Temporal Path of Authors
We use all the documents of one author in our data set to get the author’s personalized academic research temporal path. Table 1 shows an example of an author’s works:

The final extended keywords are listed in Table 2.

The average term frequency in title is 1.0206, 1.8795 in abstract, and 1.4884 in highlight. There are a total of 12706

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document Number</th>
<th>Keywords and Their Term Frequencies</th>
<th>Document Number</th>
<th>Keywords and Their Term Frequencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2173</td>
<td>excite(1:0:0:0), queries(3:0:0:1)</td>
<td>3995</td>
<td>transaction log analysis(4:0:0:0), web search engine(1:0:0:0), web search engines(1:0:0:0), web searching(1:0:0:0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2456</td>
<td>query language(0:0:1:0), search engine evaluation(0:0:1:0), session duration(0:0:1:0), web searching(0:0:1:0), information system(1:0:0:0), queries(3:0:0:0), search topics(1:0:0:0), web search engine(1:0:0:0), web search engines(1:0:0:0)</td>
<td>2841</td>
<td>collaborative information behavior(0:0:1:0), collaborative information behavior model(0:0:1:0), healthcare information behavior(0:0:1:0), healthcare teams(0:0:1:0), healthcare(0:0:0:1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2496</td>
<td>adaptive interfaces(0:0:1:0), automated assistance(0:0:1:0), contextual help(0:0:1:0), explanation systems(0:0:1:0), implicit feedback(0:0:1:0), intelligent information retrieval systems(0:0:1:0)</td>
<td>2917</td>
<td>search engines(0:0:1:0), user intent(0:0:1:0), web queries(0:0:1:0), web searching(0:0:1:0), classification(5:0:0:0), queries(5:0:0:1), search engine(1:0:0:0), web search engine(1:0:0:0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2560</td>
<td>transaction log analysis(0:0:1:0), web search engines(0:0:1:0), web searching(0:0:1:0), queries(1:0:0:0), search engine(1:0:0:1), search engines(8:0:0:0), web search engine(10:0:0:0), world wide web(0:0:1:0)</td>
<td>2984</td>
<td>arima(0:0:1:0), box jenkins model(0:0:1:0), search engine(0:0:1:0), time series analysis(0:0:1:0), transactional log(0:0:1:0), queries(3:0:0:0), web search engine(1:0:0:1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2561</td>
<td>interactive information retrieval(1:0:0:0), multitasking(5:0:0:1), queries(2:0:0:0), retrieval(1:0:0:0), web search(7:0:0:1), web search engine(3:0:0:0), web searching(1:0:0:0)</td>
<td>3028</td>
<td>bloom s taxonomy(0:0:1:0), information searching(0:0:1:0), to anderson and kraftwohl s taxonomy(0:0:1:0), web searching(0:0:1:0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2624</td>
<td>ecommerce searching(0:0:1:0), non sponsored links(0:0:1:0), organic results(0:0:1:0), paid searching(0:0:1:0), sponsored results(0:0:1:0), web search engine evaluation(0:0:1:0), web search engines(0:0:1:0), web searching(0:0:1:0), search engine(4:0:0:0), search engines(3:0:0:1), web search engine(0:0:1:0)</td>
<td>3141</td>
<td>collecta(0:0:1:0), economic value of search(0:0:1:0), real time content(0:0:1:0), real time search(0:0:1:0), search topics(0:0:1:0), twitter(0:0:1:0), google(2:0:0:0), keyword advertising(1:0:0:0), online advertising(1:0:0:0), search engine(4:0:0:0), search engines(1:0:0:0), web searching(1:0:0:0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2645</td>
<td>ask jeeves(0:0:1:0), dogpile(0:0:1:0), google(0:0:1:0), infospace inc(0:0:1:0), msn search(0:0:1:0), overlap(0:0:1:0), web search engine(0:0:1:0), yahoo(0:0:1:0), queries(8:0:0:0), retrieval(1:0:0:0), search engine(4:0:0:0), search engines(2:0:0:0), web search(12:0:0:1), web search engines(12:0:0:1)</td>
<td>3284</td>
<td>demographic profiling(0:0:1:0), gender targeting(0:0:1:0), keyword advertising(0:0:1:0), online advertising(0:0:1:0), pay per click(0:0:1:0), ppc(0:0:1:0), search engine marketing(0:0:1:0), sponsored search(0:0:1:0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: The extended keywords and their term frequencies (The four numbers after each word are count in abstract, count in keywords list, and count in title respectively)
Keywords collected in the whole collection. Parts of keywords and their weight1 (Formula 5) values are shown in Table 3. For the selected scholar, we get 65 keywords in total. Top 10 are shown in Table 4.

As for the co-occurring weight of keywords, the total number of keywords’ pair is 119038. In order to compare the co-occurring weight algorithm in this paper with traditional Directional Affinity (DAff) algorithm, we list a comparison result in Table 5. Given keyword Knowledge Management, the top 5 words selected by our weighting method and top 5 obtained by traditional DAff are listed.

824 co-occurring keywords are included in the final network. In order to reduce the complexity and time of computation, we only use the top 200 keyword pairs with highest weight and temporal paths with top 500 highest weights. Some temporal path results are shown in Table 6.

### The Visualization of Results
We have implemented a prototype system Personalized Academic Research Temporal Path Discovery for visualizing the temporal path result. This system uses GraphViz as drawing toolkit and provides a good presenting interface for users to interact with the results. It provides three different functions:

1) Query for a specific author. The data is mainly from all the documents of one author in this data set. To resolve author name ambiguity, we design an effective method which can recognize one author based on the similarity of names and addresses. We assign one unique ID to each different author. In this system, a user can choose the Author radio button and set the threshold to limit the number of nodes displayed. The result of experiment in 5.1 is shown as Figure 3.

In Figure 3, the weight of arrowed lines reflects the relationship between two corresponding keywords. We can see the research interests of this scholar shift from Web Search Engine to more specific research topics such as

### Table 3: The weight1 values of keywords in top 10 document frequency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Keyword</th>
<th>Document Frequency</th>
<th>Weight1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>information retrieval</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>4.8122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>internet</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>5.0689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>telecommunications</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>5.0876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e government</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>5.1761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>information technology</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>5.3181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>information systems</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>5.3519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>knowledge management</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>5.5334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>decision support systems</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>5.5683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>regulation</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>5.6419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>competition</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>5.84061</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4: The weight2 values of keywords in top 10 average term frequency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Keyword</th>
<th>Weight2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>web search</td>
<td>0.5739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multitasking</td>
<td>0.5294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>queries</td>
<td>0.2581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>classification</td>
<td>0.2295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>web search engines</td>
<td>0.2236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>web search engine</td>
<td>0.1916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>search engines</td>
<td>0.1837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>healthcare</td>
<td>0.1811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transaction log analysis</td>
<td>0.1773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transactional log</td>
<td>0.1765</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5: Keywords in top five highest co-occurred weights compared their traditional DDA weights about Knowledge Management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>keyword</th>
<th>weight1</th>
<th>keyword</th>
<th>weight1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>km</td>
<td>0.0117</td>
<td>knowledge sharing</td>
<td>0.1034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>knowledge sharing</td>
<td>0.0115</td>
<td>information management</td>
<td>0.0804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>knowledge</td>
<td>0.0101</td>
<td>information systems</td>
<td>0.0804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>information management</td>
<td>0.0080</td>
<td>knowledge</td>
<td>0.0690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>knowledge transfer</td>
<td>0.0069</td>
<td>innovation</td>
<td>0.0575</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 6: The timing path results with top 5 highest weights

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timing Path</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>web search engines/queries/search engine</td>
<td>56.0288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>web search engines/queries/web search engine</td>
<td>47.7074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>web search/queries/web search engine</td>
<td>45.6189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>web search engines/queries/search topics</td>
<td>35.1557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>web search engines/queries/keyword advertising</td>
<td>35.1557</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

824 co-occurring keywords are included in the final network. In order to reduce the complexity and time of computation, we only use the top 200 keyword pairs with highest weight and temporal paths with top 500 highest weights. Some temporal path results are shown in Table 6.
Query (in Web Searching area). And all the detailed research interests are shown in this picture.

2) Users can query the collection using specific keywords by choosing the Keywords radio button. The initial results include all the papers having this keyword. It is a flexible tool for users to explore academic research trends on a certain area.

Figure 4 shows the network generated for Information Retrieval. We can see that the main researches focus of Information Retrieval begin with the retrieval methods for improving performance, and most of them shift to Relevance Feedback. The latest focus of this research area is about Natural Language Processing which is believed to be able to improve the effectiveness of modern information retrieval.

3) A user can also query for any group of documents which reflects the user’s current interests. In other words, a user can formulate his/her own document collection and generate a temporal semantic network of the collection. For example, a user can save some documents about Ontology as shown in Figure 5:

Figure 6 shows the main trend of research topics about ontology discussed in these papers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Satisfaction</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Average Satisfaction</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Satisfaction</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Average Satisfaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>information retrieval</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.125</td>
<td>digital library</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>others</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>citation analysis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>information literacy</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: The weight1 values of keywords in top 10 document frequency
Preliminary User Evaluation and Future Work

We conduct a pilot evaluation to measure users’ satisfaction with the system. 10 users participate the test. Each user is asked to use the system to do five queries in his/her interested research area. After reviewing the results, they are asked to rate their satisfaction using a 3 point Likert scale in which 3 is satisfied and 1 is not-satisfied. After reviewing the queries submitted by the users, we classify them into five groups based on their broad topic area. They are information retrieval, citation analysis, information literacy, digital library, and others. The results are summarized in Table 7.

It is interesting to notice that the average satisfactions in research areas of library science are often higher than the average satisfactions in other sciences such as information science. This may be resulted from the document types of this experimental data set. The types of journal we have chosen are mainly about library science so that the corresponding effective number of documents and keywords bring in this difference in evolution results. But we see that average satisfaction in total is still 2.32 which tell us these results can meet users' information need better.

For future work, we plan to expand our data collection in library and information studies and conduct a more formal user evaluation. We will also test the application of the system in other research areas.
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